STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

	ECONOMIC IVII A	CISIAILM	ENI			
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON		EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER		
ion of the State Architect	James P. Hackett		jim.hackett@dgs.ca.gov	916-322-4699		
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 California Building Standards Code - Title 2	24, Part 5 (2016 California P	lumbing Code)		NOTICE FILE NUMBER		
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and	assumptions in the r	ulemaking record.			
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat		assamptions in the n	aremaking record.			
a. Impacts business and/or employees	e. Imposes repo	orting requirements				
b. Impacts small businesses	f. Imposes pres	criptive instead of pe	rformance			
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g. Impacts indi	viduals				
d. Impacts California competitiveness	🔀 h. None of the	above (Explain below):			
	Adoption of 2	2015 Üniform Plur	nbing Code with updates	to exist. amendments		
If any box in Items I a through g is checked, complete this Economic Impact Statement. If box in Item 1.h. is checked, complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.						
2. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that the ec	conomic impact of thi	s regulation (which includes t	he fiscal impact) is:		
Below \$10 million						
Between \$10 and \$25 million						
Between \$25 and \$50 million						
Over \$50 million [If the economic impact in as specified in Government.]	s over \$50 million, agencies are r ent Code Section 11346.3(c)]	required to submit a <u>St</u>	andardized Regulatory Impact	<u>Assessment</u>		
cer the total number of businesses impacted:	· .					
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	orofits):		·			
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be cre	ated:	eliminated:				
Explain:						
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide Local or regional (List areas):			· .		
6. Enter the number of jobs created:	and eliminated:					
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa	cted:					
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produc	· ·	YES	NO .	·		
'f YES, explain briefly:		***************************************				
				·		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

В.	ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in	the rulemaking record.	
1.	What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and inc	dividuals may incur to comply with this regu	lation over its lifetime? \$ 0
	a. Initial costs for a small business: \$0	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$0	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	
	c. Initial costs for an individual: \$0	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: None		
2.	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total cost	s for each industry: N.A.	
_			
٤.	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the ann Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reports		
1	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? YES	X NO	•
٦.		r the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$	
		_	
		Number of units: _	
5.	Are there comparable Federal regulations? YES	⊠ NO	
	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or abse	ence of Federal regulations: Required to in	nterpret an implement statutory require
	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that	may be due to State - Federal differences: \$	0
 c.	ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of bene	efits is not specifically required by rulemakin	g law, but encouraged.
	. Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may inc		·
•	health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the	e State's environment: Benefits are those cr	
	Plumbing Code (as required by H&S Code 18941) for the health	n, safety and general welfare of the public, ar	nd water conservation based on water flow rate
	reductions for plumbing fixtures and fixture fittings in complia	nce with Governors Executive Order B-29-15	and California Green Code - CALGreen.
2.	Are the benefits the result of: $\boxed{\times}$ specific statutory requirement	nts, or goals developed by the agency	based on broad statutory authority?
	Explain:		
2	. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over	its lifetime? \$ 0	
4.	. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing bu	usiness within the State of California that wo	uld result from this regulation: None
			·
D	. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculation specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	s and assumptions in the rulemaking record	l. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not
1.	. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alte	ernatives were considered, explain why not:	None considered as this proposed action
	only adopts the most current model Plumbing Code as require	ed by law (H&S Code 18941), carries forward	existing amendments, and does not propose
	any new amendments other than water flow reductions for plu	umbing fixtures and fixture fittings in compl	iance with Governors Executive Order B-29-15.

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

2001(01)12	CHILITIES STITEMENT (CONTINUED)		
mmarize the total statewide costs and benefits from	this regulation and each alternative considered:		
.egulation: Benefit: \$ 0 Co	st: \$ <u>0</u>		
Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Co.	st: \$		
Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Co.	st: \$		
. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or			
. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider perforr			
regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?			
Explain: See item 1 above.			
MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and as	sumptions in the rulemaking record		
	ection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to		
	er Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.		
. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California	business enterprises exceed \$10 million ? YES X NO		
	If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4		
:fly describe each alternative, or combination of alte	ernatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:		
. uternative 1:			
Alternative 2:			
(Attach additional pages for other alternatives)			
. For the regulation, and each alternative just described	, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:		
Regulation: Total Cost \$			
Alternative 1: Total Cost \$	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$		
Alternative 2: Total Cost \$	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$		
	nated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California on the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months emented?		
YES NO			
If YES, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Re</u> Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the			
. Briefly describe the following:			
The increase or decrease of investment in the State:	None .		
The incentive for innovation in products, materials or	processes: None		
<u>}</u>			
The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limi	ted to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California		
	and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency: The regulations ensure		
public health and safety of CA's public sci	hools, community colleges and essential services facilities mandated by statute		

PAGE 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

	ISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNM urrent year and two subsequent Fiscal Ye		through 6 and attach calculation.	s and assumptions of fiscal impact for	the
	Additional expenditures in the current (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B	State Fiscal Year which are reimb of the California Constitution and S	ursable by the State. (Approximate Sections 17500 et seq. of the Gover) nment Code).	
	\$				
	a. Funding provided in				
	Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of		
	b. Funding will be requested in the		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
		Fiscal Year:			
	Additional expenditures in the curren (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B 6	t State Fiscal Year which are NOT r of the California Constitution and :	eimbursable by the State. (Approxi Sections 17500 et seq. of the Gover	mate) nment Code).	
	\$	Page 100 April 1			
	Check reason(s) this regulation is not reim	bursable and provide the appropria	te information:		
	a. Implements the Federal mandate	contained in			·····
	b. Implements the court mandate s	et forth by the		Court.	
	Case of:	·	Vs		
	c. Implements a mandate of the per			• •	
	Date of Election:_			·	
	d. Issued only in response to a spec	ific request from affected local en	tity(s).		
	Local entity(s) affected:_		·		
	e. Will be fully financed from the fee	es, revenue, etc. from:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Authorized by Section:		of the	Code;	
	f. Provides for savings to each affect		-		
	g. Creates, eliminates, or changes tl	ne penalty for a new crime or infra	ction contained in		
		,			
	3. Annual Savings. (approximate)				
	\$				
	4. No additional costs or savings. This reg	ulation makes only technical, non-s	substantive or clarifying changes to c	current law regulations.	
\times	5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation	does not affect any local entity or p	program.		
	6. Other. Explain				
	-				***************************************

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

P-SISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attac ear and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ch calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$ [°]	
\$	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the	I Voor
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	i i cai
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	s 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or pro-	gram.
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE ,)
~ MA	9/11/15
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instru	actions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands
the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under highest ranking official in the organization.	
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
a zayvel says	11/12/3015
ce approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require comp	oletion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.
ARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE /
	18/10/15
	PAGE 5